



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,125	11/26/2003	Paul A. Horgen	27,452-A USA 3235  EXAMINER	
23307	7590 04/03/2006			
SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER, LLP			WOOLWINE, SAMUEL C	
2600 ARAMARK TOWER 1101 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 191072950			1637	
			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/723,125	HORGEN ET AL.					
concernation cummany	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Samuel Woolwine	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply	cars on are cover sneet was are c	orrespondence adaress =					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Max</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 March 2006</u> .						
· <u> </u>	• "						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No      Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 5-10, and election of SEQ ID NOS:1-6, in the response filed 03/06/2006 is acknowledged. Claims 1-4 and 11-20 are withdrawn from further consideration.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5, 6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by GenBank GI:41901. As shown by the enclosed printout, this nucleotide sequence was known at least as early as 6 July 1989.

Regarding claim 5, since Applicant offers no specific definition of "amplicon" in the specification, the term imposes no structural limitation to distinguish the claimed invention from any nucleic acid sequence. In addition, the term "having" is not explicitly defined in the specification and is construed as open language. Therefore, the sequence of GenBank GI:41901 is an amplicon having a sequence which spans residues 2574-2895 of the *lacZ* gene of *E. coli*.

Regarding claim 6, the term "probe" is not explicitly defined in the specification, and therefore the term imposes no structural limitation to distinguish the claimed

Art Unit: 1637

invention from the sequence of GenBank GI:41901. The sequence itself can be used as a probe.

Regarding claim 8, since the sequence of GenBank GI:41901 comprises the sequence of SEQ ID NO:6, the invention of claim 8 is anticipated.

Regarding claim 9, the term "primer" is not explicitly defined in the specification, and therefore the term imposes no structural limitation to distinguish the claimed invention from the sequence of GenBank GI:41901. The sequence itself can be used as a primer. For example, it can be used to prime rolling circle amplification of *lacZ* cloned into a circular plasmid.

Regarding claim 10, since the sequence of GenBank GI:41901 comprises the sequences of SEQ ID NOS:4 and 5, the invention of claim 10 is anticipated.

Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Senoo et al (2000).

Regarding claim 5, since Applicant offers no specific definition of "amplicon" in the specification, the term imposes no structural limitation to distinguish the claimed invention from any nucleic acid sequence. In addition, the term "having" is not explicitly defined in the specification and is construed as open language. Therefore, the recombinant adenoviral vector DNA shown in figure 1 and described in the first paragraph of the section entitled *Materials and Methods*, page 270, as well as the plasmid pUC-CAGGS-LacZ (see last sentence prior to the section entitled *Results*, page 271), both of which comprise the *E. coli lacZ* gene, are amplicons having a

Art Unit: 1637

sequence which spans residues 2574-2895 of the *lacZ* gene of *E. coli*. Additionally, the PCR product generated by Senoo (shown in figure 1 and described in the last paragraph of column 1, page 271) is an amplicon having a nucleotide sequence from the region spanning residues 2574-2895 of the *lacZ* gene of *E. coli*, since the entire *lacZ* gene itself is a region spanning residues 2574-2895.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, the TaqMan probe described in the last paragraph of column 1, page 271, meets the structural limitations of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 8, since the recombinant adenoviral vector DNA shown in figure 1 and described in the first paragraph of the section entitled *Materials and Methods*, page 270, as well as the plasmid pUC-CAGGS-LacZ (see last sentence prior to the section entitled *Results*, page 271) each comprise the *lacZ* gene, these DNAs also comprise the sequence of SEQ ID NO:6. Since these DNAs can be used as probes, they anticipate the claimed invention since there is nothing in the claim language to structurally distinguish the claimed invention from these DNAs disclosed by Senoo.

Regarding claim 9, the term "primer" is not explicitly defined in the specification, and therefore the term imposes no structural limitation to distinguish the claimed invention from the sequences of the primers disclosed by Senoo in the last paragraph of column 1, page 271.

Regarding claim 10, the plasmid pUC-CAGGS-LacZ (see last sentence prior to the section entitled *Results*, page 271) comprises the *lacZ* gene. Therefore, this plasmid must necessarily comprise the sequence of SEQ ID NOS:4 and 5. This

Application/Control Number: 10/723,125 Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

**SCW** 

plasmid could be nicked and subjected to rolling circle amplification and thus serve as a primer.

## Conclusion

No claims are free of the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel Woolwine whose telephone number is (571) 272-1144. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D
PRIMARY EXAMINER

3/30/06

Thater. While